

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_				T	
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/698,607	10/30/2003	Sivapackia Ganapathiappan	10010060-5	9838
	75	0 09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
	HEWLETT-P	ACKARD COMPA	ANY	PEZZUTO, HELEN LEE	
Intellectual Property Administration					
	P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		1713	
				DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/698,607	GANAPATHIAPPAN, SIVAPACKIA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Helen L. Pezzuto	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/13/	<u>05</u> .					
_	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10 and 24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10 and 24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
and and detailed denoted about for a list of the defining dopies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (FTO-102)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050902				

Application/Control Number: 10/698,607

Art Unit: 1713

#### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/13/05 has been entered.

Claims 6-10, and 24 are pending in this application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 6, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Idogawa et al. (US 5,942,560) for the reasons detailed in the office action mailed on 3/2/05 and further in view of the following remarks.

٠.,

Application/Control Number: 10/698,607 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Idogawa et al. (US-560) in view of Winnik et al. (US-794) for the reasons detailed in office action mailed on 3/2/05 and further in view of the following remarks.
- 6. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Idogawa et al. (US-560) for the reasons detailed in office action mailed on 3/2/05 and further in view of the following remarks.

Applicant's remarks filed on 6/13/05 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. Applicant urges Idogawa teaches admixing a vinyl monomer having an acid functional group in a hydrophilic form differs from the instant unsaturated monomer containing a convertible moiety in hydrophobic form. This is not compelling as prior art discloses and exemplifies the same methacryloyoxyethyl

Art Unit: 1713

succinate monomer ("Acryl Ester SA") in the context of emulsion polymerization, as presently disclosed and exemplified as the unsaturated monomer containing a convertible moiety in hydrophobic form. The word hydrophilic and hydrophobic used by applicant and patentees appear to be a matter of semantics. The function or property of the monomer and the resulting polymer particles remains the same because the same monomer is used in the same emulsion polymerization process. Furthermore, Idogawa et al. clearly suggested the employment of pH controllers, inclusive of various basic compounds (col. 8, lines 38-42). Hence, one skilled in the art would readily envisaged using any of the basic pH controllers as taught, inherently resulting in the step of converting the convertible moiety to a hydrophilic form after polymer particle formation, as expressed in claim 24. As interpreted in light of applicant's disclosure, the converting step in claim 24 is accomplished by converting the acid group to anionic salt form by adjusting the pH of the solution to a basic range (pH>7). The step of adjusting solution pH using pH controllers as taught is obvious and conventional practice. To increase solution pH, one would simply use the basic compound disclosed in the reference. Such practice and not

Art Unit: 1713

unusual and/or unexpected in the context of chemistry.

Finally, regarding claims 7-8, the examiner remains of the position that Idogawa and Winnik references are properly combined as they are clearly analogous art and within the filed of applicant's endeavor. Accordingly, the examiner's position is maintained.

7. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1713

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen L. Pezzuto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1108. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM to 4 PM, Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,607

Art Unit: 1713

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

hlp